```
053FwanP
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     -----x
 2
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                            23 Cr. 118 (AT)
                V.
5
     YVETTE WANG,
6
                                            Plea
                    Defendant.
 7
      -----x
 8
                                            New York, N.Y.
9
                                            May 3, 2024
                                            9:30 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
12
                          HON. ANALISA TORRES,
13
                                            District Judge
14
                              APPEARANCES
15
     DAMIAN WILLIAMS
          United States Attorney for the
16
          Southern District of New York
     BY: JULIANA MURRAY
17
          RYAN B. FINKEL
          MICAH FERGENSON
          JUSTIN HORTON
18
          Assistant United States Attorneys
19
     BRENDAN QUIGLEY
20
          Attorney for Defendant
21
     Also Present:
22
     Shi Feng, Interpreter (Mandarin Chinese)
23
24
25
```

```
(Case called)
1
 2
               THE COURT: Good morning.
               We're here in the United States v. Yvette Wang.
 3
 4
               Would you make your appearances, please.
               MS. MURRAY: Good morning, your Honor.
 5
 6
               Juliana Murray, Ryan Finkel, Micah Fergenson, and
 7
      Justin Horton on behalf of the United States.
8
               MR. QUIGLEY: Good morning, your Honor. For Ms. Wang,
      who is standing to my right, Brendan Quigley.
9
10
               THE COURT: And would the interpreters please
      identify -- it's just yourself today?
11
12
               THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
13
               THE COURT: Would you please identify yourself.
14
               THE INTERPRETER: My name is Shi Feng. I am the court
15
     Mandarin interpreter.
               THE COURT: Please be seated.
16
17
               Ms. Wang, do you understand what the interpreter is
18
      saying?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: I understand that I must first arraign the
21
      defendant on superseding indictment S3 and superseding
22
      indictment S4; is that right?
23
               MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, yes; arraignment on
24
      superseding indictment S3, and then the defendant will waive
25
      indictment as to the information, which is the S4.
```

1	THE COURT: I see.
2	Ms. Wang, have you been given a copy of the
3	superseding indictment S3?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Was it translated for you?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Do you want me to read it to you now in
8	public, or do you waive its public reading?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Waive, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: And how do you plead with respect to
11	superseding indictment S3?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Ms. Thompson, would you swear the
14	defendant.
15	(Defendant sworn)
16	THE COURT: Do you understand that you're now under
17	oath and that if you answer any of my questions falsely, you
18	may be prosecuted for perjury based on any false answers?
19	MR. YANNELLA: Yes; I understand, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Now I am referring to superseding
21	information S4. This is a document which contains the charges
22	against you. It's called an information. It's been issued by
23	the United States Attorney. Under the Constitution, you have a
24	right to be charged by an indictment issued by a grand jury
25	instead of by an information.

A grand jury is a group of 23 ordinary citizens that are called to jury service to hear the government's evidence in criminal cases and decide whether the evidence is sufficient to justify bringing you to trial. In order to return an indictment at least 12 of the grand jurors must vote for the indictment, finding that there is probable cause that an offense was committed and that you committed it.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have a right to have these charges considered by a grand jury, which means that without your consent, these charges could even be brought unless approved by the grand jury, but if you waive indictment by the grand jury, the case will proceed against you based on the United States Attorney's information just as if you had been indicted.

Do you understand?

MR. YANNELLA: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: My law clerk is going to show you the waiver of indictment, which I have marked as Court Exhibit No. 1.

Was that waiver of indictment translated for you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I read it and in English, and I understand it.

THE COURT: So are you saying you did not need to have the indictment translated?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: And is that your signature on the waiver
3	of indictment form?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; it is, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Did you read the waiver of indictment
6	before you signed it?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I read it, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And did you discuss it with your lawyer
9	before signing it?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I did, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: He explained it to you?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; he did, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand that by signing the
14	form, you're giving up your right to have your case present to
15	a grand jury, and you're agreeing to permit the charges to be
16	filed by the United States Attorney instead?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: You discussed with your attorney the
19	advantages and disadvantages of waiving indictment?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; we did, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Have any threats or promises been made,
22	other than by the prosecution in the written agreement, to get
23	you to waive indictment?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Do you wish to give up your right to be

charged bay grand jury?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either counsel know of any reason why
I should find that the defendant has knowingly and voluntarily
waived her right to be indicted by a grand jury?

MS. MURRAY: No, your Honor.

MR. QUIGLEY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I find that the defendant has knowingly and voluntarily waived her right to be indicted by a grand jury, and I authorize the filing of the information.

Ms. Wang, I'm told that you want to change your plea and enter a plea of guilty; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Before deciding whether to accept your guilty plea, I'm going to ask you some questions. It is very important that you answer my questions honestly and completely. The purpose of these proceedings is to make sure that you understand your rights, to decide whether you're pleading guilty of your own free will, and to make sure that you are pleading guilty because you are guilty and not for another reason.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you don't understand any of my questions, or if you want at any time to consult with

1	Mr. Quigley, please say so, because it is important that you
2	understand every question before answering.
3	How old are you?
4	THE DEFENDANT: I am 45 years old, madam, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I graduated with master's degree?
7	THE COURT: In what subject matter?
8	THE DEFENDANT: American Culture and Civilization.
9	THE COURT: And where was that? What institution?
10	THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from France, L'Université
11	Charles de Gaule and L'Université Marc Blanch.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever been treat or hospitalized
13	for mental illness?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to drugs or
16	alcohol?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Never, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently under the
19	care of a doctor or psychiatrist.
20	THE DEFENDANT: For now, no, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, medicine, or
22	pills, or drunk any alcoholic beverages in the last 24 hours?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No. No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Is there any medication that you're
25	supposed to be taking that you did not take?

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: Your mind is clear today?
               THE DEFENDANT: I am clear your Honor.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: You understand what's happening here in
 5
      this courtroom?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Mr. Quigley, you discussed this matter
8
      with your client?
9
               MR. QUIGLEY: I have, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Does she understand the rights that she
11
      would be waiving by pleading guilty?
12
               MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: She's capable of understanding the nature
14
      of these proceedings?
15
               MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Does any attorney have any doubt as to the
16
17
      defendant's competence to plead at this time?
18
               MS. MURRAY: No, your Honor.
19
               MR. QUIGLEY: No, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: On the basis of Ms. Wang's responses to my
21
      questions, my observations of her demeanor here in court, and
22
      the representations of counsel, I find that the defendant is
23
      fully competent to enter an informed plea of guilty at this
24
     time.
25
               We just discussed the information.
```

1	You waived its public reading; correct?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: You confirmed that information was
4	translated for you?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I can understand it read in
6	English, so I didn't read the translated version, but I read
7	the English version, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And you reviewed the information with your
9	lawyer; is that right?
10	MR. YANNELLA: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: You understand that you're charged, in the
12	superseding information S4, with conspiracy to commit wire
13	frau,d, in violation of U.S.C. §§ 371 and 1343 and conspiracy
14	to commit money laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 371
15	and 1956(a)()1)(B)(i)?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Have you had enough time and opportunity
18	to discuss the case with your attorney?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
20	THE COURT: Did you discuss with him the charges and
21	any possible defenses that you might have to the charges?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; we did, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: And you discussed with your attorney all
24	of the facts about your own involvement in this matter?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; we did, your Honor.

25

1 THE COURT: And he told you the consequences of 2 pleading quilty? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he did, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And you're satisfied with your attorney's 5 representation of you? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I am, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: I am now going to explain certain constitutional rights that you have. These are rights that 8 you'll be giving up if you enter a guilty plea. 9 10 Please listen carefully to what I'm about to say, and 11 if you don't understand something, stop me, and your attorney 12 or I will explain the matter more fully. 13 Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, 14 you have a right to plead not quilty to the charge in the 15 information. You understand? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And if you did plead not guilty, you would 19 be entitled, under the Constitution, to a speedy and public 20 trial by a jury. 21 You understand? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: At trial, you would be presumed to be 24 innocent, and the government would be required to prove you're

guilty beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found

guilty. That means you would not have to prove that you were innocent, and you could not be convicted unless a jury of 12 people agreed unanimously that you're guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: At trial, and at every stage of your case, you would be entitled to be represented by an attorney, and if you could not afford one, one would be appointed at public expense, free of charge, to represent you.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: During trial, the witnesses for the prosecution would have to come to court and testify in your presence where you could see and hear them, and your lawyer could cross-examine them, and if you wanted, your lawyer could offer evidence on your behalf. You would be able to use the Court's power to compel witnesses to come to court to testify in your defense, even if they did not want to come.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: At trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but you would also have to right not to testify. And if you chose not to testify, that could not be used against you in any way. No inference or suggestion of

guilt could be made from the fact that you did not testify.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you were convicted at trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict to a higher court.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: As I said before, you have the right to plead not guilty. Even right now as you sit here for the purpose of entering a guilty plea, you have the right to change your mind and go to trial. But if you do plead guilty and if I accept your plea, you'll be giving up your right to go to trial and the other rights that go with it, which I have just described.

If you plead guilty, there will be no trial. All that will remain to be done is to impose sentence. I will enter a judgment of guilty and sentence you on the basis of your guilty plea after considering whatever submissions I get from you, your lawyer, and the government, as well as a presentence report prepared by probation.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, do you understand that you also have to give up your right to not incriminate yourself, because I will ask you questions about what you did

```
in order to satisfy myself that you're quilty as charged.
1
 2
               You understand?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: You understand that each and every one of
5
      these rights?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Ms. Wang, are you willing to give up your
      right to a trial and the other rights that I have just
8
      discussed with you?
9
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I am, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: You said before that you read the
12
      superseding information containing the charges against you, and
13
      I understand that you intend to plead quilty to Counts One and
14
      Two, in violation of U.S.C. §§ 371, 1343 and 1956(a)(1)(B)(i);
15
      is that right?
                               They are right, your Honor.
16
               THE DEFENDANT:
17
               THE COURT: Would the government please state the
18
      elements of the offense in question.
19
               MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor.
20
               In order to prove the defendant quilty of Count One,
21
      the government would have to prove the following elements
22
      beyond a reasonable doubt:
23
               First, that two or more persons entered into an
24
      unlawful agreement to conspire to commit wire fraud;
25
               Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

became a member of the conspiracy;

Third, that one of the members of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one of the overt acts charged in the information, and;

Fourth, that the overt act was committed to further some objective of the conspiracy.

The elements of the underlying wire fraud charge are: First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud a victim of money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the defendant participated in the scheme to defraud knowingly and with the intent to defraud, and;

Third, that an interstate or international wire communication was used in furtherance of the scheme to defraud.

In order no prove the defendant quilty of Count Two, the government would have to prove the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

It's the same four conspiracy elements as in Count One, except that it's an unlawful agreement to conspire to commit money laundering, and;

With respect to the underlying money laundering charge, the elements are:

First, that the defendant conducted or attempted to conduct a financial transaction involving property constituting the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, which here is the

wire fraud charged in Count One;

Second, that the defendant knew that the property involved in the financial transaction was the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and;

Third, that the defendant knew that the transaction was designed, in whole or in part, to conceal or disguise the nature, location, source, ownership or control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity.

Additionally, the government would have to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that venue in this district is proper.

THE COURT: Ms. Wang, do you understand that if you were to go to trial, aside from the element of venue, the government would have to prove the remaining elements beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you understand that if you were to go to trial, the element of venue would have to be proved by a preponderance of the evidence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to tell you now about the maximum penalties. The maximum penalty means the most that could possibly be imposed. It doesn't mean that this is what you will receive. You have to understand that by pleading guilty, you are exposing yourself to the possibility of

receiving any combination of punishments up to the maximums that I'm about to describe.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: With regard your liberty, Count One and Count Two each carry a maximum term of imprisonment of five years. That means that the total maximum term of imprisonment for these crimes is ten years, which could be followed by supervised release for up to three years.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Supervised release means that if you're sentenced to prison, you'll be subject to supervision by the probation department after your release. There will be rules of supervised release that you'll have to follow, and if you violate them, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial to serve additional time even beyond the original sentence.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: You should also understand that parole has been abolished in the federal system and that if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on parole, although there is a limited opportunity to earn credit for good behavior.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: In addition to these restrictions on your liberty, the maximum punishment also includes certain financial penalties. Maximum allowable fine for each count is the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than you resulting from the offense.

Do you understand that these are the possible maximum penalties for Counts One and Two?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: For Count One, I can also order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal conduct. The superseding information also includes a forfeiture allegation. In the allegation, the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States any and all property, real or personal, constituting or derived from any proceeds traceable to the commission of the crime charged in Count One and any and all property, real and personal, involved in the crime charged in Count Two or any property traceable to such property, including but not limited to a sum of money in United States currency representing the amount of property involved in the offense.

You understand that it's possible that you could be sent to prison for ten years?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Please understand also that I'm just telling you about the punishments that are part of the sentence. Being convicted of a felony by, even if by a plea of guilty, may have other consequences. If you're a U.S. citizen, you may lose certain valuable civil rights to the extent you have them now as a result of your guilty plea, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess a firearm. If you are not a U.S. citizen, your guilty plea may result in your deportation from the United States.

Did you discuss the immigration consequences of your plea with Mr. Quigley?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; we did, your Honor.

THE COURT: In imposing sentence, federal judges are required to consider the reasonable accommodations of the federal sentencing guidelines. The guidelines are a complicated set of rules for determining an appropriate sentence. Judges must pay attention to the sentencing guidelines in determining a sentence, but in the end, a judge is required to give the sentence that she leaves best satisfies the purposes of the criminal law, even if that is higher or lower than the guideline recommendation.

Have you discussed the sentencing guidelines with your attorney?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And you understand that the guidelines are only recommendations to the Court? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I understand that there is a written plea 6 agreement that you have signed; is that right? 7 MR. YANNELLA: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: My law clerk is going to show you the 8 9 original letter plea agreement, dated May 3,2024, from 10 Assistant United States Attorney Juliana Murray to your 11 attorney, Brendan Quigley. I'm going to mark this as Court 12 Exhibit No. 2. 13 Is this your signature on the last page of the 14 agreement? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You read the agreement before you signed 16 17 it? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I did, your Honor. THE COURT: Was it translated for you? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. English is my second 21 language. I can read with some help from a translator, but 22 this agreement, I spent time with my attorney. I'm good. I 23 read it. 24 THE COURT: So you fully understood it, even though it 25 was not translated for you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you discussed it with Mr. Quigley

before you signed it; right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: I realize it is a long document and contains some technical legal language, but after discussing it with your attorney, do you understand the terms of the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: It appears that you and the government have agreed on the appropriate calculation of your sentence under the guidelines; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And the agreement is that the appropriate guidelines sentence is the 120 months. That means that, pursuant to your agreement, neither you nor the government are allowed to argue to me for a different guidelines calculation than the one in the agreement, although you make seek a sentence outside that.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: You should understand that this agreement does not bind me in any way. I am required to make any own independent calculation under the sentencing guidelines and then impose a sentence based on what I believe is the

```
appropriate sentence for you, even if that sentence is
1
 2
      different from the one in the agreement.
 3
               You understand?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: I'm not saying that I will come up with a
6
      different sentence from the one that you agree to with the
 7
      government, but if I do, then I will not let you withdraw your
      plea, even if the sentence I determine is higher than the one
8
      you agreed to with the government.
9
10
               You understand?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: I fully, understand, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: You understand that under the agreement,
13
      you're giving up your right to appeal or otherwise challenge
14
      your sentence, so long as I sentence you to 120 months of
15
      imprisonment or less?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: A fine a $500,000 or less?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: A forfeiture amount of 1.4 billion or
19
20
      less.
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: A restitution amount of 1.4 billion or
23
      less?
24
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: And a special assessment of $100 or less?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If I sentence you to more than 120 months' imprisonment for any reason, you have the right to appeal that sentence, but so long as I sentence you to 120 months or less, you may not appeal your sentence under the agreement.

You understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes; I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that under the agreement, you are giving up the right to withdraw your plea or challenge your conviction on few specific grounds, which I am about to describe.

First, under the agreement, you are giving up your right to withdraw your plea or to challenge your conviction on the grounds that the government has failed to produce the following categories of material as of the date of the signing of the agreement: any material that it was required to produce during discovery; statements of any government witness relating to the subject matter about which the witness would be expected to testify; material that could be used to impeach or attack the testimony of any government witness, and; material favorable to you that could cast doubt on your guilt or reduce your sentence other than information establishing your factual innocence.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Second, under the agreement, you're also giving up the right to withdraw your guilty plea and the right to challenge your conviction or sentence based on any actual or perceived adverse immigration consequences, including deportation resulting from the guilty plea and conviction. So for example, if you are deported following your quilty plea and conviction, or if the government later turns over material that would tend to cast doubt on your quilt, other than material that establishes your innocence, you will not be able to withdraw your plea or challenge your conviction on those grounds. You understand? THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor. THE COURT: Did you willingly sign the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did anyone force you or bribe you?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the written agreement constitute your complete and total understanding of the agreement between you and the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to predict what your sentence will be, their prediction may be wrong?

> I understand, your Honor. THE DEFENDANT:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm asking you this because no one, not your attorney or the prosecutors, not even I can be sure now what your sentence will be, because that sentence cannot be determined until I received presentence investigation report, and until I have decided what is the correct sentence calculation based on the sentencing quidelines and whether there is any basis for not following the guidelines. THE DEFENDANT: I fully understand, your Honor. THE COURT: Even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else has predicted, even if it's different from what you expect, once you've pleaded guilty, you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea. You understand? THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor. THE COURT: Other than what is contained in the plea agreement, has anyone made any promise or offered you any inducement to plead guilty? THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. No. THE COURT: Has anyone threatened, bribed, or forced you to plead quilty? THE DEFENDANT: No your Honor. THE COURT: Has anyone made a promise to you as to what your sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now that you've been advised of the

charges against you, the possible penalties you face, and the rights you're giving up, is it still your intention to plead guilty to Counts One and Two of the S4 superseding information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: With respect to Count One, how do you plead?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: And with respect to Count Two, how do did you plead?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Now I want you to tell me in your own words what you did that makes you believe that you're guilty of the charges in the superseding information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

Your Honor, I will speak in English, because English is my second language, but if you can't understand me, I will ask Ms. Translator to help me.

THE COURT: I understand you perfectly.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. Thank you.

In about June 2020, I was directed by others to wire approximately 100 million U.S. dollars from a bank account in New York to Hayman Capital Management, a hedge fund in Texas. I knew these funds had been received from investors in the GTV offering. At the time, I had concerns. I knew -- I knew, and I had concerns based on what I knew about representations to

GTV investors, that this use of funds was improper, but I nevertheless agreed and went ahead and made the wire transfer anyway.

In addition, before sending the money to the hedge fund, I agreed with others to transfer the money between two accounts in New York. The money was sent to the hedge fund from an account belonging to Saraca, the entity that had the agreement with the hedge fund, so it appeared the money came from Saraca.

I knew what I was doing was wrong. I take my responsibility, and I'm very sorry for my actions, your Honor.

THE COURT: So at the time, you knew, based on what you knew about the representations to GTV investors, that the use of funds was improper?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: AUSA Murray, are there any additional questions that you want me to put to Ms. Wang?

MS. MURRAY: Not with respect to the allocution, your Honor.

I would just ask that you confirm that the defendant understands that there's a \$100 mandatory special assessment as to each count, so it's a collective \$200 mandatory special assessment.

THE COURT: Do you understand that with respect to each count, there is a \$100 mandatory special assessment?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: For a total of \$200.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: AUSA Murray, would you summarize what the government's evidence would be, were the defendant to go to trial.

MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor.

If we were to go to trial, the government's evidence would include but not be limited to: witness testimony and other evidence that the defendant functioned as the effective chief of staff to Miles Guo, including at various of companies he controlled, which are referred to as the G Entities in the government's indictment.

We would establish through bank records that the defendant was the authorized signer on various accounts that were used for fraud proceeds.

We would introduce emails and other electronic communications, including communications among the defendant and coconspirators; subpoena returns, including from certain of the G Entities and from other businesses; records of domestic and international wire transfers of fraud proceeds; the contents of cell phones, including communications between the defendant, Miles Guo, and others, and; evidence regarding the defendant's transfer of \$100 million of GTV private placement funds into the Hayman Capital hedge fund for the benefit of

Saraca Media Group, GTV's parent company, which was beneficially owned by Guo's son, Miles's son; and; IP logs reflecting that the defendant made that transfer from Manhattan.

THE COURT: Do both attorneys agree that there is a sufficient factual predicate far quilty plea?

MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor.

MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Quigley, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at trial?

MR. QUIGLEY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either attorney know of any reason that I should not accept defendant's plea of guilty?

MS. MURRAY: No, your Honor.

MR. QUIGLEY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Wang, because you acknowledge that you are, in fact, guilty as charged in the superseding information S4, because I'm satisfied that you know of your rights, including your right to go to trial and that you are aware of the consequences of your plea, including the sentence which may be imposed, because I find that you are knowingly and voluntarily pleading guilty, I accept your plea and enter a judgment of guilty on Counts One and Two of the superseding information.

The probation department will want to interview you in

connection with a presentence report that it will prepare.

Does defense counsel wish to be present for any interview in connection with the report?

MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you choose to speak to probation,

Ms. Wang, make sure that anything you say is truthful and
accurate. Do not lie. If you lie, you can be independently
prosecuted for lying to probation.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will read the report carefully. It is important to me in deciding what sentence to impose. You and your counsel have a right to examine the report and to comment on it at the time of sentencing. I urge you to read it and discuss it with your attorney. If there are any mistakes in it, point them out to your lawyer so that he can bring them up to me.

Sentencing is set for September 10, 2024, at 11 a.m. The government shall provide the probation officer with its factual statement within seven days.

Defense counsel must arrange for Ms. Wang to be interviewed by the probation department within the next two weeks. Defendant's sentencing submission is due on August 20, 2024, three weeks prior to sentencing. The government's submission is due on August 27, two weeks prior to sentencing.

Defendant shall remain in custody pending sentencing. 1 2 Are there any further applications by either side? 3 MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, two minor points, just in an 4 abundance of caution, that I would just note for the record 5 that the defendant responded to all of the Court's questions 6 today in English. And the second point, I would just ask your 7 Honor to inquire whether the defendant admits to the forfeiture allegations in the information. 8 9 THE COURT: You may recall that I said that the 10 superseding information includes a forfeiture allegation. 11 There's one with respect to Count One, and it involves any and all property, real and personal, involved in the crime charged 12 13 in Count Two, and I further elaborated on that. 14 Do you admit to that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Anything further? 17 MS. MURRAY: No, your Honor. Thank you. 18 MR. QUIGLEY: Nothing from us, your Honor. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Counsel, I'd like you to step up, please. 20 (Sidebar; discussion off the record) 21 THE COURT: All right. The matter is adjourned. 22 (Adjourned) 23 24 25